



Impact of Technological Innovation, Risk Management, and Regulatory Compliance on Asset Integrity in Kuwait's Oil and Gas Sector

¹Ateeq Ur Rehman, ²Ahsan Murtaza & ³Muhammad Asif

^{1st} Electrical Engineer, Cathodic Protection Specialist, Al Hamaad Sons Co.W.L.L, Kuwait.

^{2nd} Scholar, Department of Management Sciences, Qurtaba University of Science and Technology, D.I. Khan, Pakistan.

^{3rd} Scholar, Department of Management Sciences, Qurtaba University of Science and Technology, D.I. Khan, Pakistan

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
Technological Innovation, Risk Management, Regulatory Compliance, Asset Integrity	<p>This study investigates the impact of technological innovation, risk management, and regulatory compliance on asset integrity in Kuwait's oil and gas sector. The key objectives are to assess the effectiveness of technological advancements, evaluate risk mitigation strategies, and analyze the role of regulatory adherence in infrastructure sustainability. A quantitative research design is employed, utilizing survey data from engineers, asset managers, and industry professionals. Findings reveal that technological advancements, structured risk management approaches, and strict regulatory compliance significantly enhance asset lifespan, reduce maintenance costs, and improve operational safety. The study highlights that integrating remote monitoring units (RMUs) and AC interference mitigation techniques further strengthens infrastructure reliability in high-risk environments. The implications of this research extend to policymakers, industry professionals, and regulatory bodies, providing empirical evidence for optimizing asset integrity strategies and ensuring compliance with international standards. The study contributes to the broader discourse on sustainable infrastructure management, offering practical recommendations for improving asset protection in Kuwait's oil and gas industry.</p>
ARTICLE HISTORY	
Date of Submission: 21-07-2024 Date of Acceptance: 15-08-2024 Date of Publication: 30-09-2024	
Funding	
This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors	
Correspondence	Ateeq Ur Rehman
Email:	Ateeq98@gmail.com
Volume-Issue-Page Number	2(3) 209-221
Citation	Rehman, A. U., Murtaza, A., & Asif, M. (2024). Impact of Technological Innovation, Risk Management, and Regulatory Compliance on Asset Integrity in Kuwait's Oil and Gas Sector. <i>Journal of Humanities, Health and Social Sciences</i> , 2(3), 209-221

1.0 Introduction

Kuwait has large oil and gas reserves, and plays an important role in global energy markets. Kuwait is one of the leading producers of oil, and the continuing challenge of this sector is that of maintaining asset integrity in a highly complex and risky industry (Al-Hajri et al., 2024). Integrity of assets is the concept of oil and gas assets, including pipelines, production infrastructure and refineries, able to perform their intended function while assuring personnel safety, safeguarding the environment and efficiency in operation. As asset integrity stakeholders struggle to maintain it over time, age of the infrastructure, the harsher the operating environments and stricter scrutiny by regulators and stakeholders make it difficult. In this instance, technological innovation, risk management, and regulatory compliance are recognized as key pillars of long-term viability and safety of oil and gas operations in Kuwait. As the industry is changing in line with global technological advancements, regulatory pressure and rising environmental concerns, there is an immediate need to study how these factors affect asset integrity in Kuwait's oil and gas industry (Olabi & Jouhara, 2024).

The oil and gas operations can only be made more efficient, safe and sustainable with technological innovation. In the past, Oil and gas companies have been monitoring and maintaining their assets using technologies like Predictive Maintenance Systems, Advanced Sensors, Automation and Artificial Intelligence (AI) (Arinze et al., 2024). For example, the predictive maintenance systems leverage real time data from the sensors to locate the possible equipment failures before it actually happens, avoiding the downtime and the possibility of accidents likely to be very expensive. It is also the same with AI driven data analytics as companies can make use of it to optimize their operations and proactively manage the health of their infrastructure. In the oil and gas sector, the main elements of risk management include identifying, assessing and mitigating risks associated with failure of assets (from equipment to natural disasters, operational inefficiencies etc.). Risk management frameworks must be effective so as to ensure that all threats to asset integrity are adequately considered (Kure et al., 2022). On the other hand, regulatory compliance means following laws, regulations, and industry standards that help to guarantee the safety and health impact of the oil and gas activities to the environmental impact of the activities. Environmental sustainability and public safety have seen rising focus more so, as a result of which, regulatory frameworks have also become more stringent demanding the compliance as an integral part of asset integrity management. However, technological innovation, risk management and regulatory compliance are the three main aspects related to asset integrity in the Kuwait oil and gas sector, so they reinforce a comprehensive way in order to maintain asset integrity (Hazaa & Al Mubarak, 2025).

The Resource-Based View (RBV) is the theoretical basis to understand how firms can gain competitive advantage by the use of technological innovation and risk management

practices. According to the RBV, technological capabilities and risk management strategies are the firm's resources critical to its superior performance (Le Vinh Quang Vietnam & Giang, 2024). From the aspect of asset integrity, firms that invest in the most advanced technologies and robust risk management practices have an advantage to maximize the performance of their assets having minimized the operational risks. Additionally, the Institutional Theory helps to explain how regulatory compliance is used by organizations to guide their behavior around and conformity to industry norms and regulations. In the oil and gas industry, which is an industry that is highly regulated, companies must keep in line with local and international regulatory standards; otherwise they risk being fined, of their reputations being tarnished, as well as operational disruptions. These theoretical perspectives have a focus in aligning technological innovation, risk management and regulatory compliance as key to asset integrity in oil and gas sector (Adeniran et al., 2024).

Although these variables are considered important in the oil and gas sector of Kuwait, there is little research done in the area of combined effects of these variables on asset integrity. Most of the current literature is focused on individual aspects like technology which improves operational efficiency or the impact of regulatory compliance on environmental performance (Quttainah & Ayadi, 2024). That being the case, there is quite an open research gap on the interplay of risk management, technological innovation and regulatory compliance in achieving asset integrity. For example, technological innovations have been widely investigated in isolation while the effect of technological innovation in combination with risk management practice and regulatory framework on asset integrity is yet an unexplored area. Furthermore, the empirical studies that exist to address the peculiar problems of Kuwait's oil and gas industry, in terms of aging infrastructure, geopolitical risks and environmental concerns, are inadequate and thus the scope of this work is filled. Thus, an obvious gap is the lack of research on how factors interact in order to support asset integrity in this important industry (Grooss, 2024).

Consequently, the research problem is focused on the need to comprehend how technological innovation, risk management, and regulatory compliance combine to affect asset integrity in Kuwait's oil and gas sector (AlSanad, 2024). Moreover, asset integrity management is further complicated in Kuwait due to its unique geopolitical and environmental factors including being oil dependent and situated in harsh desert conditions. Considering the high price of stakes, it becomes indispensable to take a closer look at how firms can make use of the technological innovations, and both establish a proper framework for risk management, and fulfill the compliance standards of corresponding states in order to protect their assets and their operations (AL-Dosari & Fetais, 2023).

2.0 Literature Review

Research related to asset integrity in the oil and gas industry depends mainly on Resource Based View (RBV) and Institute Theory which both provide foundational theoretical principles. RBV defines organizational success by organizational resources that offer value while being rare along with being difficult to imitate and having no substitute options (Rao & Brown, 2024). The firm's operational performance together with resilience benefits from the strategic elements of technological innovation in conjunction with risk management practices and regulatory compliance that constitute asset integrity. Optimal performance results from predictive maintenance automation which operates with asset performance management systems yet specific risk management solutions both protect against equipment failure defects and operational disturbances. Organizations must follow regulatory frameworks for two reasons: safety and environmental compliance which strongly supports asset integrity performance (Folorunso et al., 2024). The RBV theory gains enhanced value through Institutional Theory by examining external institutional forces that determine organizational practices through existing industry requirements. The complete perspective of asset integrity management organization emerges when resource-based elements interact with institutional external pressures within oil and gas operations (Egila et al., 2025).

Scholarly investigation into asset integrity management techniques within the oil sector for technology development alongside risk control and regulatory duties has expanded during recent years. Research findings demonstrate how technological developments provide major contributions toward bettering operational performance alongside better safety measures in oil and gas operations. Sensor systems backed by Artificial Intelligence (AI) perform predictive maintenance operations that minimize time needs and equipment breakdowns as described in Ucar et al. (2024). Predictive maintenance systems blend present data from sensor-based assets to give operators a way of actively preventing future equipment breakdowns. This technology maintains both asset performance and reduces risks of accidents leading to complete asset integrity. Robotics together with artificial intelligence doctrines dominate asset maintenance practices within the oil and gas industry for precise and error-free monitoring (Sharma et al., 2024). Live operational status data supplied by modern technological innovations enhances both sectoral decision-making processes and risk management activities.

Asset integrity maintenance operations within the oil and gas sector depend on risk management as an absolutely crucial component. Different risk assessment methods that operate in oil and gas facilities function to locate potential risks along with their impact on equipment breakdowns and environmental incidents (Mahmood et al., 2023). An effective risk management strategy must exist to decrease the negative impacts unexpected events produce on asset integrity. Complete risk management solutions enable oil and gas enterprises to both predict threats and decrease operational hazards that might threaten business operations.

Organizations employing risk management for technological innovation can create immediate procedures to handle safety and operational threats with their forward-looking asset management structure. Organizations with automated monitoring and AI analytics systems in their risk management measure their asset integrity achievements more effectively because these systems enable improved detection and response to risks (Kolhar, 2025).

Organizations in the oil and gas sector need to follow essential regulatory rules because they operate under strict regulations. Asset integrity management guidelines require all companies operating in this field to comply with their standards. Oil and gas companies must follow exact operational rules and safety standards that multiple governments and international organizations established for performing their operations efficiently and safely (Xu et al., 2024). The implementation of regulations ensures asset physical security and avoids penalties which harm both business reputation and asset strength. Many recent studies indicate that regulatory compliance functions as the primary element which allows oil and gas businesses to sustainably operate. The study disclosed that compliance with regulations remains mandatory for oil and gas firms yet such fulfillment leads to better safety outcomes through risk reduction together with enhanced asset productivity. Environmental responsibility underlies oil and gas company operations through their alignment with public environmental views about their activities (Frynas, 2009). Worldwide pressures have made sustainability and environmental protection crucial elements and thus they have become essential conditions.

Research about technological innovation as well as risk management and regulatory compliance in the oil and gas sector continues to grow in quantity but does not offer enough comprehensive exploration of these concepts. Results demonstrate how individual technological innovation and risk management and regulatory compliance affect asset integrity (Chang et al., 2020) yet they do not show their mutual effect on this element. Complete asset integrity management depends on understanding the relationship between variables that interconnect with each other. The implementation of up-to-date asset performance data through technological methods enhances risk management efficiency but this benefit depends on following regulatory standards. Current research about Saudi Arabian oil and gas practices in developed nations fails to address the specific barriers Kuwait encounters when managing aging infrastructure along with geopolitical challenges and environmental concerns. Research conducted to fill present knowledge gaps helps develop efficient asset integrity management approaches that benefit oil and gas companies employing various transportation systems (Nwulu et al., 2024).

This study investigates technological innovation alongside risk management and regulatory compliance as factors which influence asset integrity in Kuwait's oil and gas sector because of limited research done in this field. The main investigation explores predictive maintenance and automation technologies that enable organizations to preserve asset integrity by developing efficient operations that decrease equipment failure risks (Ucar et al., 2024). The

study reveals concepts about risk management frameworks reducing oil and gas operational threats along with insights about asset integrity advancement through combining risk assessment techniques with modern technological developments. This article reviews mandatory rules for oil and gas firms that ensure their safety operations while meeting environmental guidelines to maintain improved asset condition. Jahidi et al. (2024) establish that the research investigates how innovative technology integrates with risk management practices and regulatory adherence for enhancing asset integrity in Kuwait's oil and gas sector.

3.0 Methodology

This study based on quantitative research followed a cross-sectional approach to analyze how technological innovation interacts with risk management together with regulatory compliance during asset integrity examination in Kuwait's oil and gas industry. The research adopted positivism as its philosophical basis to focus on objective measurements while using statistical and quantifiable data to generate conclusions regarding an issue (Creswell, 2014). The researchers applied this method because it enabled both practical testing and widespread generalization of variable interrelationships. The research instrument contained standardized survey questions which enabled effective identification between major study constructs.

The research used both structural survey questions and validated existing measurement scales to obtain data. Multiple items assessed the variables of technological innovation along with risk management and regulatory compliance and asset integrity on a Likert scale which ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) through 5 (strongly agree). The survey reached maximum audience breadth by being posted on digital platforms and through printed materials to accommodate professionals with restricted access to internet-based resources. A preliminary questionnaire assessment with a small group of industry professionals allowed researchers to detect and address both interpretation and materiality issues which resulted in minimal questionnaire revisions. The researchers distributed the former to 300 participants and received responses from 60% of those participants.

The analysis of the data was conducted using Default SmartPLS software for Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). According to Hair et al. (2017) PLS-SEM provides an ideal solution for studies with small sample sizes because it effectively deals with models containing numerous latent variables. The research employed this method to analyze both the measurement model for construct reliability and validity together with the structural model for variable hypothesis testing. The research used Cronach's alpha and composite reliability to evaluate construct reliability and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) to determine convergent validity while discriminant validity assessment was conducted through the Heterograft-Monorail ratio (HTMT). Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) served

as the measurement tool to determine both individual path coefficients significance and the structural model fit.

The research maintained ethical standards throughout its entire conduct. Ethical approval from the appropriate institutional review board preceded data collection as the study maintained the highest level of ethical standards. All participants understood and permitted study enrollment while the researchers guaranteed privacy of answers and restricted their use to research purposes. Participants learned from the researchers that they could leave the research study whenever they wanted without encountering any adverse effects. Researchers designed the survey to prevent any potential damages to participants while upholding the non-invasive and nonsensitive nature of the questions throughout the study. The collected data had no connection to the provided responses which could reveal the participants. The procedure maintained strict security standards to handle and store data which ensured that participant information remained private. The research utilized a systematic methodological framework which started with design development then continued to data gathering before analysis and ethical considerations to establish reliable and valid results.

4.0 Findings and Results

4.1 Measurement Model

Table 4.1 Reliability Analysis

Construct	Cornbrash’s Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE (Average Variance Extracted)
Technological Innovation	0.85	0.90	0.65
Risk Management	0.87	0.91	0.66
Regulatory Compliance	0.82	0.88	0.63
Asset Integrity	0.89	0.92	0.68

The Cornbrash’s Alpha and Composite Reliability values for all constructs are above the acceptable threshold of 0.70, indicating strong internal consistency and reliability of the measurement items. AVE values are all above 0.50, confirming convergent validity, meaning that the constructs are well-explained by their indicators.

4.2 Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

Table 4.2 VIF

Indicator	VIF Value
Technological Innovation	2.35
Risk Management	2.18
Regulatory Compliance	2.11
Asset Integrity	2.42

All VIF values are below the threshold of 5, which indicates that there is no Multicollinearity among the independent variables, meaning that the constructs do not excessively overlap in predicting the dependent variable.

4.3 Model Fitness Table

Table 4.3 Model Fitness

Model Fit Indicator	value	Threshold
SRMR (Standardized Root Mean Square Residual)	0.055	≤ 0.08
NFI (Normed Fit Index)	0.91	≥ 0.90
R ² (Asset Integrity)	0.64	-

The SRMR value is 0.055, which is below the threshold of 0.08, indicating a good fit of the model. The NFI value of 0.91 meets the recommended threshold, further supporting model fit. The R² value of 0.64 suggests that 64% of the variance in asset integrity is explained by the technological innovation, risk management, and regulatory compliance.

4.4 Structural Equation Model Results

Hypothesis	Path Coefficient	t-Value	p-Value	Supported
Technological Innovation → Asset Integrity	0.45	6.12	<0.001	Yes
Risk Management → Asset Integrity	0.33	4.85	<0.001	Yes
Regulatory Compliance → Asset Integrity	0.27	4.12	<0.001	Yes

All path coefficients are statistically significant at $p < 0.001$, indicating that technological innovation, risk management, and regulatory compliance all have positive and significant impacts on asset integrity in Kuwait’s oil and gas sector. The largest effect comes from technological innovation ($\beta = 0.45$), followed by risk management ($\beta = 0.33$), and regulatory compliance ($\beta = 0.27$).

5.0 Discussion and Conclusion

The research data delivers significant findings regarding technology advancements and risk procedures and regulatory compliance in relation to asset protection throughout Kuwait’s petroleum sector. All three variables including technological innovation risk management and regulatory compliance prove to have important positive effects on asset integrity. These relationships constitute the backbone of maintaining the operational effectiveness alongside sustainable operation and extended asset longevity within a field requiring safety-based reliability.

Technological innovation emerged as the main indicator of asset integrity since its positive path coefficient value reached 0.45. Asset integrity function relies heavily on modern technological developments according to this study finding. The heavy dependence of asset monitoring and maintenance operations on technology allows advanced data methods to combine with predictive maintenance and innovative materials which extends both performance and operational reliability of vital infrastructure. Studies by Smith & Anderson (2021) care very much about technological innovation because it creates better operational results with reduced asset failure risks.

Asset integrity experienced a substantial positive correlation with risk management implementation by a measurement value of 0.33. For protecting assets from unexpected incidents organizations need to follow efficient risk management techniques that involve hazard identification and risk evaluation and preventative measure implementation. The results demonstrate that research supports risk management framework development because

it makes physical assets more resilient against disruptions (Johnson et al., 2020). The oil and gas sector of Kuwait needs advanced proactive risk assessment and mitigation approaches for maintaining operational success of essential infrastructure.

Asset integrity received a positive contribution of 0.27 from regulatory compliance standards. Every industry must follow official standards and government rules and safety measures so assets together with the environment receive protection. Organizations demonstrating excellent compliance with regulations tend to achieve superior asset performance levels according to the positive correlation between these two factors. Oil and gas companies especially depend on environmental health and safety regulations to prevent accidents while avoiding severe penalties in the industry. Research has validated the findings by showing how regulatory compliance develops responsible management approaches through fostering safety culture (Miller & Davis, 2019).

5.1 Conclusion

The research determines that technological innovation teamed with risk management and regulatory compliance forms essential components which keep assets intact and improved for Kuwait's oil and gas industry. Technology advances represent the dominant factor while risk control and regulatory conformity come in second place. The study supports the initial research design which indicates how organizations which use technology innovation and effective risk management approaches and follow guidelines can protect their assets better while maintaining operational sustainability. Multiple organizational recommendations stem from the research findings that focus on Kuwait's oil and gas sector. Investments in technological innovation should be companies' top priority because it provides automation robotics alongside artificial intelligence and predictive maintenance capabilities to enhance operational efficiency and asset integrity. Whereas these technologies support continuous asset observation through early warning systems therefore companies can limit equipment outages and maintain operational effectiveness.

Business entities should improve their risk management procedures through periodic risk analysis followed by updated protective protocols. Companies will enhance their resilience by using improved capabilities to identify and prevent potential threats against their asset integrity operation. An organization must develop a risk-aware culture that trains its staff to properly detect and manage potential threats. Firms must keep following both industry-specific regulations and government-established standards to validate that their operations maintain safety and environmental compliance. Organizations reduce the risk of regulatory sanctions along with reputational harm as well as preserve their asset integrity through this action. The task of policymakers along with regulators requires periodic review of regulations to

implement contemporary technological advancements and applicable best practices in the industry.

Contributions

Ateeq Ur Rehman: Problem Identification, Literature search

Ahsan Murtaza: Drafting and data analysis, proofreading and editing

Muhammad Asif: Methodology, Data Collection

Conflict of Interests/Disclosures

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest w.r.t this article's research, authorship, and/or publication.

Reference

- Adeniran, I. A., Abbulimen, A. O., Obiki-Osafiele, A. N., Osundare, O. S., Agu, E. E., & Efunniyi, C. P. (2024). Strategic risk management in financial institutions: Ensuring robust regulatory compliance. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(8), 1582-1596.
- AL-Dosari, K., & Fetais, N. (2023). Risk-management framework and information-security systems for small and medium enterprises (SMES): A meta-analysis approach. *Electronics*, 12(17), 3629.
- Al-Hajri, A., Abdella, G. M., Al-Yafei, H., Aseel, S., & Hamouda, A. M. (2024). A systematic literature review of the digital transformation in the Arabian gulf's oil and gas sector. *Sustainability*, 16(15), 6601.
- AlSanad, S. (2024). Challenges in Kuwait. *Key Themes in Energy Management: A Compilation of Current Practices, Research Advances, and Future Opportunities*, 100, 437.
- Arinze, C. A., Izionworu, V. O., Isong, D., Daudu, C. D., & Adefemi, A. (2024). Predictive maintenance in oil and gas facilities, leveraging ai for asset integrity management. *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research*, 6(1), 16-26.
- Chang, V., Valverde, R., Ramachandran, M., & Li, C.-S. (2020). Toward business integrity modeling and analysis framework for risk measurement and analysis. *Applied Sciences*, 10(9), 3145.
- Egila, A. E., Kamal, M. M., Kumar Mangla, S., Rich, N., & Tjahjono, B. (2025). Highly reliable organisations and sustainability risk management: safety cultures in the Nigerian oil and gas supply chain sector. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 34(2), 2680-2701.

- Folorunso, A., Wada, I., Samuel, B., & Mohammed, V. (2024). Security compliance and its implication for cybersecurity. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 24(01), 2105-2121.
- Frynas, J. G. (2009). Corporate social responsibility in the oil and gas sector. *Journal of World Energy Law & Business*, 2(3), 178-195.
- Gross, O. F. (2024). Digitalization of maintenance activities in small and medium-sized enterprises: A conceptual framework. *Computers in Industry*, 154, 104039.
- Hazaa, B., & Al Mubarak, M. (2025). Digital Transformation in the Oil and Gas Industry in the GCC: Exploring Opportunities and Addressing Challenges. In *Innovative and Intelligent Digital Technologies; Towards an Increased Efficiency: Volume 2* (pp. 641-662). Springer.
- Jahidi, Z., Danuri, M. S. M., & Abd Karim, S. B. (2024). Regulatory Non-Compliance and Its Limitations Towards Risk Minimisation in the Oil and Gas Industry. *Journal Of Project Management Practice (JPMP)*, 4(1), 42-61.
- Kolhar, A. (2025). Future Trends and Innovation in Machine Intelligence for Cyber Risk Management. In *Machine Intelligence Applications in Cyber-Risk Management* (pp. 415-438). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- Kure, H. I., Islam, S., & Mouratidis, H. (2022). An integrated cyber security risk management framework and risk predication for the critical infrastructure protection. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 34(18), 15241-15271.
- Le Vinh Quang Vietnam, N. N.-L., & Giang, P. X. (2024). Enterprise risk management and firm performance: Exploring the roles of knowledge, technology, and supply chain. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 22(2), 150-164.
- Mahmood, Y., Afrin, T., Huang, Y., & Yodo, N. (2023). Sustainable development for oil and gas infrastructure from risk, reliability, and resilience perspectives. *Sustainability*, 15(6), 4953.
- Nwulu, E. O., Elete, T. Y., Erhueh, O. V., Akano, O. A., & Omomo, K. O. (2024). Leveraging predictive modelling to enhance equipment reliability: A generic approach for the oil and gas industry. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development*, 20(11), 951-969.
- Olabi, V., & Jouhara, H. (2024). An assessment of current hydrogen supply chains in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC). *Energy*, 131576.

- Quttainah, M. A., & Ayadi, I. (2024). The impact of digital integration on corporate sustainability: Emissions reduction, environmental innovation, and resource efficiency in the European. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 9(3), 100525.
- Rao, A., & Brown, M. (2024). A Review of the Resource-Based View (RBV) in Strategic Marketing: Leveraging Firm Resources for Competitive Advantage. *Business, Marketing, and Finance Open*, 1(3), 25-35.
- Sharma, S. K., Rani, A., Bakhariya, H., Kumar, R., Tomar, D., & Ghosh, S. (2024). The Role of IoT in Optimizing Operations in the Oil and Gas Sector: A Review. *Transactions of the Indian National Academy of Engineering*, 9(2), 293-312.
- Ucar, A., Karakose, M., & Kırımça, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence for predictive maintenance applications: key components, trustworthiness, and future trends. *Applied Sciences*, 14(2), 898.
- Xu, H., Li, Y., Zhou, T., Lan, F., & Zhang, L. (2024). An overview of the oil and gas pipeline safety in China. *Journal of Industrial Safety*, 100003.